From:	Smidinger	Rotev	[Smidinger	.Betsy@epa.	σον
rrom:	omidinger,	betsy	(Smiainger	.betsy@epa.	.gov

Sent: 12/6/2018 1:40:04 PM

To: Benevento, Douglas [benevento.douglas@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: Ongoing work in OU2

I will find out from our team what is going on at OU2 and have them draft a response for you to send.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 5, 2018, at 7:14 PM, Benevento, Douglas < benevento.douglas@epa.gov > wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "CAG Administrator" < <u>vbi170cag@gmail.com</u>>

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject: Ongoing work in OU2

Steve, Jennifer, Jesse, Mr. Benevento,

The VB/I-70 Community Advisory Group was informed that the removal action at OU2 was completed in August (2018). With knowledge, shared by Kara, that the removal action draft completion report was due to the EPA by the end of November, I had made a note to myself to contact you to request a copy of the report this week.

Having been under the impression that the major soil work had been completed at the site, I was surprised to receive an email late last containing the GLO images attached to this email. From the looks of these photos, it appears that significant work, involving additional digging and soil movement is still underway at the site after the supposed August completion. Specifically, these photos were taken last week, 3 months after we were advised that the removal of soil had been completed and construction scorecards were no longer being created.

At a (CAG) meeting over the summer Kara and Ryan informed CAG members of CCoD's revised site plan to permanently retain the pilings that were originally installed for the purpose of the dewatering project. However, these photos show a second wall of pilings that far exceeds what was in place during the dewatering phase of the project. As soil continues to be dug up and moved for this project, I'd like to know why the CAG was not informed of this work and why reporting on soil quality and /or contamination is not being made available. Will please you tell me about what I am seeing in these photos and why CAG was not informed?

As a point of reference I am including the site image reflecting the sheet piling alignment from the (Draft) Final Design Report Addendum 3 gw piling document. The legend within the document specifies the formerly temporary, now permanent sheet piling that was originally installed for the dewatering project. The solid magenta line reflects the new sheet piling wall shown in the images contained within this email.

Regards,

Kim Morse VB/I-70 CAG Administrator

<20171215 (Draft) Final Design Report Addendum 3 gw pilings.pdf>

<GLO Sheet Pilings 4.jpeg>

<GLO Sheet Pilings 1.jpeg>

<GLO Sheet Pilings 2.jpeg>

<GLO Sheet Pilings 5.jpeg>

<GLO Sheet Pilings 3.jpeg>

<GLO Sheet Pilings 6.jpeg>